

# The Hammersley-Clifford theorem

Richard D. Gill

Mathematical Institute, University of Leiden, Netherlands

<http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~gill>

October 4, 2011

Suppose  $X_v$ ,  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  is a finite collection of discrete random variables with strictly positive joint probability mass function  $p$ . Choose a fixed reference value  $x^*$  and define for all  $A \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_A(x_A) &= \log p(x_A, x_{A^c}^*), \\ \phi_A(x_A) &= \sum_{B: B \subseteq A} (-1)^{|A \setminus B|} \psi_B(x_B).\end{aligned}$$

By the Möbius inversion lemma (please prove it yourself!), we can invert the relationship between the  $\phi$  and the  $\psi$  functions evaluated at  $x$  to obtain for all  $B$

$$\psi_B(x_B) = \sum_{A \subseteq B} \phi_A(x_A),$$

and in particular,

$$\log p(x) = \psi_{\mathcal{V}}(x) = \sum_{A \subseteq \mathcal{V}} \phi_A(x_A).$$

We will show that under the pairwise local Markov property,  $\phi_A = 0$  if  $A$  is not a complete subset of  $\mathcal{V}$ . If  $A$  is not complete, there exist points  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $A$  such that  $\alpha \not\sim \beta$ . Recall that  $\phi_A(x_A) = \sum_{B: B \subseteq A} (-1)^{|A \setminus B|} \psi_B(x_B)$ . Define  $C = A \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . We can now write

$$\phi_A(x_A) = \sum_{B: B \subseteq C} (-1)^{|A \setminus B|} \left( \psi_B(x_B) - \psi_{B \cup \{\alpha\}}(x_{B \cup \{\alpha\}}) - \psi_{B \cup \{\beta\}}(x_{B \cup \{\beta\}}) + \psi_{B \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}}(x_{B \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}}) \right).$$

Now, for given  $B$  define  $D = \mathcal{V} \setminus (B \cup \{\alpha, \beta\})$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}& \psi_B(x_B) - \psi_{B \cup \{\alpha\}}(x_{B \cup \{\alpha\}}) - \psi_{B \cup \{\beta\}}(x_{B \cup \{\beta\}}) + \psi_{B \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}}(x_{B \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}}) \\ &= \log \left( \frac{p(x_B, x_\alpha, x_\beta, x_D^*) p(x_B, x_\alpha^*, x_\beta^*, x_D^*)}{p(x_B, x_\alpha^*, x_\beta, x_D^*) p(x_B, x_\alpha, x_\beta^*, x_D^*)} \right) \\ &= \log \left( \frac{p(x_B, x_\alpha, x_\beta, x_D^*) / p(x_B, x_\alpha^*, x_\beta, x_D^*)}{p(x_B, x_\alpha, x_\beta^*, x_D^*) / p(x_B, x_\alpha^*, x_\beta^*, x_D^*)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Now the last expression is the logarithm of the ratio of the conditional odds on  $X_\alpha = x_\alpha$  against  $X_\alpha = x_\alpha^*$ , under the conditions  $X_B = x_B$ ,  $X_D = x_D^*$  and  $X_\beta = x_\beta$  and under the conditions  $X_B = x_B$ ,  $X_D = x_D^*$  and  $X_\beta = x_\beta^*$ . Thus if  $X_\alpha$  is independent of  $X_\beta$  conditional on  $X_{B \cup D}$ , this log odds ratio is zero.